arXiv:1603.07639v3 [math.GT] 11 May 2016

A geometric description of the homology of surface bundles

Caterina Campagnolo

Abstract

In the present note we describe geometrically the homology classes in the total space of a surface bundle over a surface in terms of the holonomy map. We treat the cases where the base surface is closed or has one boundary component.

1 Introduction

In 1986, Thurston proved [T] that the mapping torus of any pseudo-Anosov diffeomorphism of a hyperbolic surface is a hyperbolic 3-manifold. Such a mapping torus has naturally the structure of a surface bundle over the circle S^1. From the celebrated theorem of Agol [A], it is known that every closed oriented real hyperbolic 3-manifold has a finite degree cover of that form.

In contrast, the question whether a surface bundle over a surface admits a real hyperbolic structure, when fibre and base are hyperbolic, is open in its full generality. What is more, even the stronger question whether there exists a word hyperbolic surface-by-surface group is still open (see the introduction of the article by Bowditch [B]). It is generally conjectured that it is not the case, even for the stronger question. Only the case of complex hyperbolic structures was answered by Kapovich [K] in the negative.

To get a better understanding of surface bundles over surfaces, we study their homology groups. While these are known abstractly, for example through the Serre spectral sequence of fibrations, we try here to give an as geometric as possible description of the homology classes, aiming at submanifolds of the total space. Indeed, the presence of an essential torus in E would imply that E is not negatively curved. Unfortunately, the homology does not give enough information in general to allow to prove the existence of such a torus.

In order to find this geometric description of homology, we use the Mayer-Vietoris sequence. We start with the case where the base has one boundary component, and building on it we get the case of the closed base. We restrict our attention to hyperbolic fibre.

In Section 2 we fix the notation and recall some facts on surface bundles. We compute their homology groups in Section 3 and explain why we cannot conclude on the existence of a toroidal class.

Acknowledgements. This research was supported by Swiss National Science Foundation grant number PP00P2-128309/1. The author would like to thank her doctoral advisor Michelle Bucher for many useful discussions at each and every stage of this work, and Mate Juhasz for helpful comments on Propositions 1 and 3. Many thanks to Dieter Kotschick for carefully reading a first version of this paper and suggesting an improvement of the strategy of the proof. The author is also grateful to Dan Margalit, Saul Schleimer and Richard Webb for pointing out a misformulation in the previous version of Lemma 5 and to

Thomas Koberda for critically inspecting her arguments and proposing insightful counter-examples to the previous version of this paper. Finally the author thanks Benson Farb and Nick Salter for bringing to her attention relevant references.

2 Background

An oriented surface bundle with hyperbolic fibre over any Hausdorff paracompact manifold $F \hookrightarrow E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ is determined up to homeomorphism by the conjugacy class of its holonomy morphism $\pi_1(M) \to \mathrm{Mod}(F)$ (see for example Proposition 4.6 in [M2]).

Here the base space M will either be a closed orientable surface Σ_g of genus g, or an orientable surface of genus g with one boundary component $\Sigma_{g,1}$. Let us denote by

$$\pi_1(\Sigma_g) = \left\langle a_1, ..., a_{2g} \middle| \prod_{i=1}^{g} [a_{2i-1}, a_{2i}] \right\rangle,$$

where $[a_k, a_l] = a_k a_l a_k^{-1} a_l^{-1}$ denotes the commutator,

$$\pi_1(\Sigma_{g,1}) = \langle b_1, ..., b_{2g} \rangle = F_{2g}$$

their fundamental groups, and let

$$f : \pi_1(\Sigma_g) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mod}(F),\ h : \pi_1(\Sigma_{g,1}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mod}(F)$$

be the respective holonomy morphisms. Let us further write f_i for $f(a_i)$ and h_i for $h(b_i)$. The induced morphisms $f_{i*}, h_{i*} : H_1(F, \mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(F, \mathbb{Z})$ preserve the intersection form. Hence, after fixing a basis of $H_1(F, \mathbb{Z})$, for example as in Figure 1, we can see the f_{i*}'s and the h_{i*}'s in the integral symplectic group $Sp(2g(F), \mathbb{Z})$. Let ρ denote this canonical representation $\mathrm{Mod}(F) \to Sp(2g(F), \mathbb{Z})$.



Figure 1: An integral basis for $H_1(F, \mathbb{R})$.

An oriented surface bundle over a surface admits a so-called tangent bundle along the fibre $T\pi$, that is the set of all tangent vectors to E that are tangent to the fibres,

$$T\pi = \{v \in TE \mid \pi_*(v) = 0\}.$$

The Euler class $e \in H^2(E, \mathbb{Z})$ of the bundle E is defined as the Euler class of the vector bundle $T\pi$. We will denote its Poincaré dual by $[N] \in H_2(E, \mathbb{Z})$.

3 Computation of the homology groups

In this section we compute the homology groups of a surface bundle over a surface in terms of the holonomy map. Though obtained by a different method, our description of the homology is an explicit geometric expression of what was computed by Morita [M1] for rational homology and by Cavicchioli, Hegenbarth and Repovš [CHR] for integral homology, using the spectral sequence of the bundle. Note also the work of Salter [S], who provides a detailed description of submanifolds representing homology classes in degree 2 and 3, focusing on bundles with holonomy lying in the Torelli subgroup. The submanifolds he describes also appear in our computations.

We start with the case where the base space is $\Sigma_{g,1}$.

Proposition 1. *A surface bundle $F \hookrightarrow A \to \Sigma_{g,1}$ over the surface of genus g with one boundary component is a connected 4-manifold with boundary and has homology*

$$\begin{aligned}
H_0(A) &= \mathbb{R}, \\
H_1(A) &= H_1(F)/\left\langle \beta - h_{i*}(\beta) \,|\, \beta \in H_1(F), 1 \le i \le 2g \right\rangle \oplus \textstyle\bigoplus_{i=1}^{2g} H_1(S^1) \\
H_2(A) &= H_2(F) \oplus \left\langle \left[\sum_{i=1}^{2g} I_i \times \tilde{\alpha}_i\right] \,\middle|\, \alpha_i \in H_1(F), \sum_{i=1}^{2g} \alpha_i = \sum_{i=1}^{2g} h_{i*}(\alpha_i) \right\rangle, \\
H_3(A) &= \mathbb{R}^{2g}, \\
H_4(A) &= \{0\},
\end{aligned}$$

where $[\tilde{\alpha}_i] = \alpha_i \in H_1(F)$ and the index i indicates over which generator of $\pi_1(\Sigma_{g,1})$ the cylinder $I_i \times \tilde{\alpha}_i$ lies. When not specified, the coefficients of the homology groups are $\mathbb{R}$.

Remark 2. *The convention for the notation $I_i \times \tilde{\alpha}_i$ is that it denotes the oriented cylinder over the i-th generator of $\pi_1(\Sigma_{g,1})$, where I_i is the oriented interval, and the cylinder has base the homology class $[\tilde{\alpha}_i]$ at the first end of I_i and $h_{i*}([\tilde{\alpha}_i])$ at the second end of I_i.*

Proof. The surface of genus g with one boundary component is homotopy equivalent to the wedge sum of $2g$ circles. So the bundle A is homeomorphic to an F-bundle over the wedge sum of $2g$ circles and hence has the same homology groups. We now consider that bundle.

We use the Mayer-Vietoris sequence. Let P be the restriction of the bundle over the union of the $2g$ open intervals forming the petals of the wedge sum and C be the restriction of the bundle over an open neighbourhood of the center x_0 of the wedge sum. The intersection $P \cap C$ has $4g$ connected components, which are F-bundles over small intervals. We obtain the following long exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \;=\; & H_3(P) \oplus H_3(C) \xrightarrow{j_3} H_3(A) \xrightarrow{\partial_3} H_2(P \cap C) \xrightarrow{i_2} \\
& H_2(P) \oplus H_2(C) \xrightarrow{j_2} H_2(A) \xrightarrow{\partial_2} H_1(P \cap C) \xrightarrow{i_1} \\
& H_1(P) \oplus H_1(C) \xrightarrow{j_1} H_1(A) \xrightarrow{\partial_1} H_0(P \cap C) \xrightarrow{i_0} \\
& H_0(P) \oplus H_0(C) \xrightarrow{j_0} H_0(A) \longrightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The inclusions i_P, i_C, j_P, j_C making the following diagram commute are given below:



The map i_P is the identity on the x_i-component, and is h_i on the y_i-component. The maps i_C, j_P and j_C are the canonical inclusions.

We know that

$$H_2(P \cap C) = \mathbb{R}^{4g},\ H_2(P) \oplus H_2(C) = \mathbb{R}^{2g+1},$$
$$H_1(P \cap C) = H_1(F)^{4g},\ H_1(P) \oplus H_1(C) = H_1(F)^{2g+1},$$

and

$$H_0(P \cap C) = \mathbb{R}^{4g},\ H_0(P) \oplus H_0(C) = \mathbb{R}^{2g+1},\ H_0(A) = \mathbb{R}.$$

We compute $H_1(A)$:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} H_1(A) & = & Im(j_1) \oplus H_1(A)/Im(j_1) = Im(j_1) \oplus H_1(A)/Ker(\partial_1) \\ & \cong & Im(j_1) \oplus Im(\partial_1) = Im(j_1) \oplus Ker(i_0). \end{array}$$

The map i_0 is as follows:

$$\begin{array}{rccl} i_0: & H_0(P \cap C) & \longrightarrow & H_0(P) \oplus H_0(C) \\ & (\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{y}_1, ..., \tilde{x}_{2g}, \tilde{y}_{2g}) & \longmapsto & \left(\left(\tilde{x}_1 + h_{1*}(\tilde{y}_1), ..., \tilde{x}_{2g} + h_{2g_*}(\tilde{y}_{2g}) \right), -\sum_{i=1}^{2g} \tilde{x}_i + \tilde{y}_i \right), \end{array}$$

where $\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{y}_i$ denote lifts in F of the points x_i, y_i.

The homology classes of the points $\tilde{x}_i$ and $h_{i*}(\tilde{x}_i)$ are equal in $H_0(P)$, and similarly for $\tilde{y}_i$ and $h_{i*}(\tilde{y}_i)$, as the fibre is connected. Hence the kernel of i_0 is:

$$Ker(i_0) = \langle (\tilde{x}_1, -\tilde{x}_1, 0, ..., 0), ..., (0, ..., 0, \tilde{x}_{2g}, -\tilde{x}_{2g}) \rangle .$$

It is therefore isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{2g}$. Each of its generators corresponds in $H_1(A)$ to the circles over each circle of the wedge sum.

As $Im(j_1) \cong (H_1(P) \oplus H_1(C)) / Ker(j_1) = (H_1(P) \oplus H_1(C)) / Im(i_1)$, we investigate i_1:

$$\begin{array}{rccl} i_1: & H_1(P \cap C) & \longrightarrow & H_1(P) \oplus H_1(C) \\ & (\alpha_1, \beta_1, ..., \alpha_{2g}, \beta_{2g}) & \longmapsto & \left(\left(\alpha_1 + h_{1*}(\beta_1), ..., \alpha_{2g} + h_{2g_*}(\beta_{2g}) \right), -\sum_{i=1}^{2g} \alpha_i + \beta_i \right) \end{array}$$

Thus $Im(i_1)$ is equal to

$$\langle ((0, ..., 0, \alpha_i, 0, ..., 0), -\alpha_i), ((0, ..., 0, h_{i*}(\beta_i), 0, ..., 0), -\beta_i), \alpha_i, \beta_i \in H_1(F), 1 \leq i \leq 2g \rangle .$$

In $(H_1(P) \oplus H_1(C))/Im(i_1)$, we then have the following identifications:

$$((\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_{2g}), \beta) \sim ((0, ..., 0), \beta + \gamma_1 + ... + \gamma_{2g}) \text{ and}$$
$$((0, ..., 0), \beta) \sim ((0, ..., h_{i*}(\beta), 0, ..., 0), 0) \sim ((0, ..., 0), h_{i*}(\beta)),\ 1 \leq i \leq 2g.$$

This implies

$$(H_1(P) \oplus H_1(C)) / Im(i_1) \cong H_1(F) / \langle \beta - h_{i*}(\beta) \mid \beta \in H_1(F), 1 \leq i \leq 2g \rangle ,$$

and hence

$$H_1(A) \cong H_1(F) / \langle \beta - h_{i*}(\beta) \mid \beta \in H_1(F), 1 \leq i \leq 2g \rangle \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2g} H_1(S^1).$$

We compute $H_2(A)$:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} H_2(A) & = & Im(j_2) \oplus H_2(A)/Im(j_2) = Im(j_2) \oplus H_2(A)/Ker(\partial_2) \\ & \cong & Im(j_2) \oplus Im(\partial_2) = Im(j_2) \oplus Ker(i_1). \end{array}$$

The previous description of i_1 allows us to see that $Ker(i_1)$ is

$$\left\{(\alpha_1,\beta_1,...,\alpha_{2g},\beta_{2g}) \mid \alpha_i = -h_{i*}(\beta_i), 1\leq i\leq 2g, \sum_{i=1}^{2g}\beta_i \overset{(*)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{2g} h_{i*}(\beta_i)\right\}.$$

Roughly speaking, it corresponds in $H_2(A)$ to the cylinders over the circles of the wedge sum that glue back, either to theirselves, or to a cylinder coming from another circle, possibly using part of the fibre in C to match together.

As $Im(j_2)\cong (H_2(P)\oplus H_2(C))/Ker(j_2) = (H_2(P)\oplus H_2(C))/Im(i_2)$, we consider i_2:

$$\begin{array}{rccl} i_2: & H_2(P\cap C) & \longrightarrow & H_2(P)\oplus H_2(C) \\ & (\lambda_1[F],...,\lambda_{4g}[F]) & \longmapsto & \left(\left(\lambda_1[F]+\lambda_2 h_{1*}[F],...,\lambda_{4g-1}[F]+\lambda_{4g}h_{2g*}[F]\right), -\sum_{i=1}^{4g}\lambda_i[F]\right)\end{array}$$

As $h_{i*}([F])=[F]$ for all $1\leq i\leq 2g$, we see that

$$Im(i_2) = \langle (([F],0,...,0),-[F]),...,((0,...,0,[F]),-[F])\rangle.$$

Therefore, in $(H_2(P)\oplus H_2(C))/Im(i_2)$,

$$((0,...,0,\lambda[F],0,...,0),\mu[F]) \sim ((0,...,0),(\lambda+\mu)[F]),$$

and hence $(H_2(P)\oplus H_2(C))/Im(i_2)\cong H_2(F)=\mathbb{R}$. So we obtained that

$$H_2(A)\cong H_2(F)\oplus \left\langle \left[\sum_{i=1}^{2g} I_i\times\tilde{\alpha}_i\right] \middle| \alpha_i\in H_1(F), \sum_{i=1}^{2g}\alpha_i = \sum_{i=1}^{2g} h_{i*}(\alpha_i)\right\rangle,$$

where the index i indicates over which circle of the wedge sum the cylinder lies, and $[\tilde{\alpha}_i]=\alpha_i$.

Finally we compute $H_3(A)$. This is easy, since $H_3(A)\cong Im(\partial_3) = Ker(i_2)$. By the above description of i_2 we compute:

$$Ker(i_2) = \langle ([F],-[F],0,...,0),...,(0,...,0,[F],-[F])\rangle.$$

It is therefore isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{2g}$. In $H_3(A)$, it corresponds to the 3-manifolds generated by the fibre going around each circle of the wedge sum. □

We can use Proposition 1 to treat the case where the base space is a closed surface.

Proposition 3. *Let $F\hookrightarrow E\to\Sigma_g$ be a surface bundle over the surface Σ_g with hyperbolic fibre F. Then the total space E is a connected 4-dimensional manifold with homology*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} H_0(E) &=& \mathbb{R}, \\ H_1(E) &=& H_1(F)/\langle \beta - f_{i*}(\beta) | \beta\in H_1(F), 1\leq i\leq 2g\rangle \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2g} H_1(S^1), \\ H_2(E) &=& \langle [N]\rangle \oplus H_2(F)\oplus \\ && \left\langle \left[\sum_{i=1}^{2g} I_i\times\tilde{\alpha}_i\right] \middle| \alpha_i\in H_1(F), \sum_{i=1}^{2g}\alpha_i = \sum_{i=1}^{2g} f_{i*}(\alpha_i)\right\rangle / i_2\left(H_1(F)\otimes H_1(S^1)\right), \\ H_3(E) &=& \mathbb{R}^{2g}\oplus \left\langle \alpha\otimes[S^1] \middle| \alpha\in H_1(F), f_{i*}(\alpha)=\alpha, \forall 1\leq i\leq 2g\right\rangle, \\ H_4(E) &=& \mathbb{R}, \end{array}$$

where $[\tilde{\alpha}_i]=\alpha_i\in H_1(F)$ and i indicates over which generator of $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$ the cylinder $I_i\times\tilde{\alpha}_i$ lies. When not specified, the coefficients of the homology groups are $\mathbb{R}$.

Remark 4. *The convention for the notation $I_i\times\tilde{\alpha}_i$ is as above: it denotes the oriented cylinder over the i-th generator of $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$, where I_i is the oriented interval, and the cylinder has base the homology class $[\tilde{\alpha}_i]$ at the first end of I_i and $f_{i*}([\tilde{\alpha}_i])$ at the second end of I_i.*

Proof. Let δ in Σ_g be a simple closed curve bounding a disc D in Σ. Let Σ_A denote the surface obtained from Σ_g by cutting out D: its boundary is δ. Let A be the fibre bundle E restricted to Σ_A and B the restriction of E to D. As D is contractible, the bundle B is isomorphic to the trivial one. The surface with boundary Σ_A is homotopy equivalent to the wedge sum of $2g$ circles, hence A is homeomorphic to a surface bundle over the wedge sum of $2g$ circles. The restriction of E to the intersection of Σ_A and D is a surface bundle over a circle. As it is the boundary of B, which is trivial, it is the trivial bundle as well. By Proposition 1 and the preceding remarks, we have

H_*	A
0	$\mathbb{R}$
1	$H_1(F)/\langle \beta - f_{i*}(\beta) \vert \beta \in H_1(F), 1 \leq i \leq 2g \rangle \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2g} H_1(S^1)$
2	$H_2(F) \oplus \left\langle \left[\sum_{i=1}^{2g} I_i \times \tilde{\alpha}_i \right] \middle\vert \alpha_i \in H_1(F), \sum_{i=1}^{2g} \alpha_i = \sum_{i=1}^{2g} f_{i*}(\alpha_i) \right\rangle$
3	$\mathbb{R}^{2g}$
4	$\{0\}$

H_*	B	$A \cap B$
0	$\mathbb{R}$	$\mathbb{R}$
1	$H_1(F)$	$H_1(S^1) \oplus H_1(F)$
2	$H_2(F)$	$H_2(F) \oplus H_1(S^1) \otimes H_1(F)$
3	$\{0\}$	$\mathbb{R}$
4	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$

We apply the Mayer-Vietoris sequence to this decomposition of E:

$$\begin{array}{rcccccccc}
0 & = & H_4(A) \oplus H_4(B) & \xrightarrow{j_4} & H_4(E) & \xrightarrow{\partial_4} & H_3(A \cap B) & \xrightarrow{i_3} \\
 & & H_3(A) \oplus H_3(B) & \xrightarrow{j_3} & H_3(E) & \xrightarrow{\partial_3} & H_2(A \cap B) & \xrightarrow{i_2} \\
 & & H_2(A) \oplus H_2(B) & \xrightarrow{j_2} & H_2(E) & \xrightarrow{\partial_2} & H_1(A \cap B) & \xrightarrow{i_1} \\
 & & H_1(A) \oplus H_1(B) & \xrightarrow{j_1} & H_1(E) & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & H_0(A \cap B) & \xrightarrow{i_0} \\
 & & H_0(A) \oplus H_0(B) & \xrightarrow{j_0} & H_0(E) & \longrightarrow & 0. &
\end{array}$$

As $H_0(A), H_0(B)$ and $H_0(E)$ are 1-dimensional, we see that i_0 is injective, hence ∂_1 is the zero map, and so j_1 is surjective. This means that $H_1(E) = Im(j_1) \cong (H_1(A) \oplus H_1(B))/Ker(j_1)$. But $Ker(j_1) = Im(i_1)$, so we consider i_1:

$$\begin{array}{rccc}
i_1 : & H_1(A \cap B) & \longrightarrow & H_1(A) \oplus H_1(B) \\
 & \lambda\sigma + \phi & \longmapsto & (\overline{\phi}, -\phi)
\end{array}$$

because the image of the generator σ of $H_1(S^1)$ is trivial in $H_1(A)$ as it goes over all circles of the wedge sum in A once in each direction, and in $H_1(B)$ as it is contractible over the disc D. By $\overline{\phi}$ we mean the class of $\phi \in H_1(F)$ in $H_1(F)/\langle \beta - f_{i*}(\beta) \vert \beta \in H_1(F), 1 \leq i \leq 2g \rangle$.

So in $(H_1(A) \oplus H_1(B))/Im(i_1)$ we have

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2g} \lambda_i [S_i^1] + \overline{\phi}, \psi \right) \sim \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2g} \lambda_i [S_i^1] + \overline{\phi} + \overline{\psi}, 0 \right),$$

hence $(H_1(A) \oplus H_1(B))/Im(i_1) \cong H_1(A)$. So $H_1(E) \cong H_1(A)$.

We turn now to $H_2(E)$:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
H_2(E) & = & Im(j_2) \oplus H_2(E)/Im(j_2) = Im(j_2) \oplus H_2(E)/Ker(\partial_2) \\
 & \cong & Im(j_2) \oplus Im(\partial_2) = Im(j_2) \oplus Ker(i_1).
\end{array}$$

By the description of i_1 given above we see that $Ker(i_1) = H_1(S^1)$, which is one-dimensional. Hence it suffices to find one non-zero preimage of it in $H_2(E)$. The Poincaré dual of the Euler class of E is such: indeed, as the bundle $A \cap B$ is the trivial bundle, we have that the Euler class e restricted to $A \cap B$ equals $\chi(F)[S^1]^*$, where $[S^1]^*$ denotes the Poincaré dual of $[S^1]$. The surface F is hyperbolic, hence $\chi(F) \neq 0$. We compute:

$$[N] \cap [A \cap B] = \langle e, [A \cap B] \rangle = \chi(F) \left\langle [S^1]^*, [A \cap B] \right\rangle = \chi(F)[S^1] \neq 0.$$

So $\frac{1}{\chi(F)}[N]$ is a preimage in $H_2(E)$ of the generator σ of $H_1(S^1) \subset H_1(A \cap B)$. In fact, by definition of ∂_2 we have $\partial_2([N]) = \chi(F)[S^1]$.

As $Im(j_2) = (H_2(A) \oplus H_2(B))/Ker(j_2) = (H_2(A) \oplus H_2(B))/Im(i_2)$, we consider the map i_2.

$$\begin{array}{rccc} i_2: & H_2(A \cap B) & \to & H_2(A) \oplus H_2(B) \\ & \lambda[F] + \alpha \otimes [S^1] & \longmapsto & (\lambda[F] + \beta, -\lambda[F]), \quad \text{where} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \beta & = & \left[\tilde{\alpha} \times I_1 + f_{1*}(\tilde{\alpha}) \times I_2 - {f_1^{-1}}_* f_{2*} f_{1*}(\tilde{\alpha}) \times I_1 - \left[f_2^{-1}, f_1^{-1}\right]_* (\tilde{\alpha}) \times I_2 + ... \right. \\ & & + \prod_{i=1}^{g-1} \left[f_{2(g-i)}^{-1}, f_{2(g-i)-1}^{-1}\right]_* (\tilde{\alpha}) \times I_{2g-1} \\ & & + {f_{2g-1}}_* \prod_{i=1}^{g-1} \left[f_{2(g-i)}^{-1}, f_{2(g-i)-1}^{-1}\right]_* (\tilde{\alpha}) \times I_{2g} \\ & & - {f_{2g-1}^{-1}}_* {f_{2g}}_* {f_{2g-1}}_* \prod_{i=1}^{g-1} \left[f_{2(g-i)}^{-1}, f_{2(g-i)-1}^{-1}\right]_* (\tilde{\alpha}) \times I_{2g-1} \\ & & \left. - \prod_{i=1}^{g} \left[f_{2(g+1-i)}^{-1}, f_{2(g+1-i)-1}^{-1}\right]_* (\tilde{\alpha}) \times I_{2g} \right]. \end{array}$$

The element β can be easily checked to belong to $H_2(A)$ by applying the corresponding holonomy maps on its summands. It is the image of $\alpha \otimes [S^1]$ with S^1 included in Σ_A as the boundary curve δ.

Hence in $(H_2(A) \oplus H_2(B))/Im(i_2)$ we have

$$\left(\lambda[F] + \sum_j \left[\sum_i I_i \times \tilde{\alpha}_i\right]_j, \mu[F]\right) \sim \left((\lambda+\mu)[F] + \sum_j \left[\sum_i I_i \times \tilde{\alpha}_i\right]_j, 0\right).$$

So $(H_2(A) \oplus H_2(B))/Im(i_2) \cong H_2(A)/i_2\left(H_1(F) \otimes H_1(S^1)\right)$. This gives

$$\begin{array}{rcl} H_2(E) & = & \langle N \rangle \oplus H_2(F) \oplus \\ & & \left\langle \left[\sum_{i=1}^{2g} I_i \times \tilde{\alpha}_i\right] \middle| \alpha_i \in H_1(F), \sum_{i=1}^{2g} \alpha_i = \sum_{i=1}^{2g} f_{i*}(\alpha_i) \right\rangle / i_2\left(H_1(F) \otimes H_1(S^1)\right). \end{array}$$

Finally let us compute $H_3(E)$:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} H_3(E) & = & Im(j_3) \oplus H_3(E)/Im(j_3) = Im(j_3) \oplus H_3(E)/Ker(\partial_3) \\ & \cong & Im(j_3) \oplus Im(\partial_3) = Im(j_3) \oplus Ker(i_2). \end{array}$$

As $H_4(E) \cong \mathbb{R} \cong H_3(A \cap B)$ and ∂_3 is injective, it is an isomorphism. Hence i_3 is the zero map and j_3 is injective. So $Im(j_3) \cong H_3(A) \oplus H_3(B) = H_3(A) = \mathbb{R}^{2g}$. We then compute $Ker(i_2)$:

$$i_2\left(\lambda[F] + \alpha \otimes [S^1]\right) = 0 \Longleftrightarrow (\lambda[F] + \beta, -\lambda[F]) = 0,$$

with β as before. Hence $\lambda = 0$, and it remains $\beta = 0$. For this it is necessary that the terms lying over the same generator of $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$ cancel each other. We sort the terms of the

expression of β by generator:

$$\begin{array}{lrcl}
I_1 & \tilde{\alpha} - {f_1^{-1}}_* f_{2*} f_{1*}(\tilde{\alpha}) & = & 0 \\
I_2 & f_{1*}(\tilde{\alpha}) - \left[f_2^{-1}, f_1^{-1}\right]_*(\tilde{\alpha}) & = & 0 \\
\dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\
I_{2g-1} & \prod_{i=1}^{g-1} \left[f_{2(g-i)}^{-1}, f_{2(g-i)-1}^{-1}\right]_*(\tilde{\alpha}) & & \\
 & -f_{2g-1*}^{-1} f_{2g*} f_{2g-1*} \prod_{i=1}^{g-1} \left[f_{2(g-i)}^{-1}, f_{2(g-i)-1}^{-1}\right]_*(\tilde{\alpha}) & = & 0 \\
I_{2g} & f_{2g-1*} \prod_{i=1}^{g-1} \left[f_{2(g-i)}^{-1}, f_{2(g-i)-1}^{-1}\right]_*(\tilde{\alpha}) & & \\
 & -\prod_{i=1}^{g} \left[f_{2(g+1-i)}^{-1}, f_{2(g+1-i)-1}^{-1}\right]_*(\tilde{\alpha}) & = & 0
\end{array}$$

Using these equations, we obtain successively that for $\alpha \otimes [S^1]$ to belong to $Ker(i_2)$, the element α needs to be invariant under f_i for all $1 \leq i \leq 2g$. So

$$Ker(i_2) = \left\langle \alpha \otimes [S^1] \mid \alpha \in H_1(F), f_{i*}(\alpha) = \alpha \, \forall 1 \leq i \leq 2g \right\rangle.$$

This corresponds in $H_3(E)$ to the 3-manifolds in E such that when cut along $A \cap B$, their boundary belongs to $Ker(i_2)$. □

The following lemma is a simple property of the surface of genus 2, that is not true for surfaces of genus greater or equal to 3. It opens a possibility for a better geometric adaptation of the homological information provided by the previous propositions for a bundle with genus 2 fibre.

Lemma 5. *In the surface of genus* 2*, two disjoint simple closed curves are freely homotopic if and only if they define the same homology class.*

Proof. It is obvious that two homotopic simple closed curves in any surface define the same homology class. For the other direction, the disjoint simple closed curves α and β are homologous if and only if α and β form the boundary of a subsurface $S_1 \subset \Sigma_2$. The surface S_1 of course has two boundary components, as has its complementary surface $S_2 = \Sigma_2 \setminus S_1$. The relation between the Euler characteristics of Σ_2, S_1 and S_2 yields the equation

$$-2 = \chi(\Sigma_2) = \chi(S_1) + \chi(S_2) = (2 - 2g_1 - 2) + (2 - 2g_2 - 2) = -2(g_1 + g_2),$$

where $g_i \geq 0$ is the genus of S_i, $i = 1, 2$. Hence $g_1 + g_2 = 1$, and thus either g_1 or g_2 is 0. Hence either S_1 or S_2 is the surface of genus 0 with 2 boundary components, which is an annulus. This provides the free homotopy between α and β. □

Remark 6. *Our original hope was to find a non-trivial toroidal class in* $H_2(E, \mathbb{R})$ *among the classes of the form* $\left[\sum_{i=1}^{2g} I_i \times \tilde{\alpha}_i\right]$ *in order to show that* E *is not negatively curved.*

The existence of such a class requires the existence of a sequence of classes $[\alpha_{i_1}], ..., [\alpha_{i_k}]$ *in* $H_1(F)$ *such that* $f_{i_j *}([\alpha_j]) = [\alpha_{i_{j+1}}]$ *for* $1 \leq j \leq k-1$ *and* $f_{i_k *}([\alpha_{i_k}]) = [\alpha_{i_1}]$*. Indeed, such a sequence would produce a long cylinder in* E *whose two ends would be two representatives of the class* $[\alpha_{i_1}]$*. If these two representatives are disjoint and the fibre is of genus* 2*, then Lemma 5 ensures that the cylinder can be closed back into a torus. But if they intersect, one needs to add some handles and the genus of the submanifold increases.*

Note that the existence of $\{[\alpha_{i_1}], ..., [\alpha_{i_k}]\} \subset H_1(F)$ *with* $f_{i_j *}([\alpha_j]) = [\alpha_{i_{j+1}}]$ *for* $1 \leq j \leq k-1$ *and* $f_{i_k *}([\alpha_{i_k}]) = [\alpha_{i_1}]$ *as above is equivalent to the existence of an element* $\phi = f_{i_k *} \cdots f_{i_1 *}$ *with eigenvalue* 1 *in* $\rho(Im(f))$*.*

However, the existence of a fixed homology class, even in a surface of genus 2*, does not at all imply the existence of a fixed curve. Just take for* ϕ *a pseudo-Anosov element of* $\mathrm{Mod}(F)$ *lying in the Torelli subgroup.*

References

[A] I. Agol. *Virtual properties of 3-manifolds.* Dedicated to the memory of Bill Thurston. Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Seoul 2014, pp. 141-170.

[BT] R. Bott, L. W. Tu. *Differential Forms in Algebraic Topology.* Springer, 1982.

[B] B. H. Bowditch. *Atoroidal surface bundles over surfaces.* Geom. Funct. Anal. 19, 2009, pp. 943-988.

[Br] K. S. Brown. *Cohomology of Groups.* Springer, 1982.

[CHR] A. Cavicchioli, F. Hegenbarth, D. Repovš. *On four-manifolds fibering over surfaces.* Tsukuba J. Math., Vol. 22 No. 2, 1998, pp. 333-342.

[G] M. Gromov. *Hyperbolic groups.* In Essays in Group theory, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. 8, Springer-Verlag, York, 1987, pp. 75-263.

[K] M. Kapovich. *On normal subgroups in the fundamental groups of complex surfaces.* Preprint, arXiv:math.GT/9808085, 1998.

[M1] S. Morita. *Characteristic classes of surface bundles.* Invent. math. 90, 1987, pp. 551-577.

[M2] S. Morita. *Geometry of characteristic classes.* American Mathematical Society, 2001.

[S] N. Salter. *Cup products, the Johnson homomorphism, and surface bundles over surfaces with multiple fiberings.* Algebraic and Geometric Topology, 15-6, 2015, pp. 3613-3652.

[T] W. P. Thurston. *Hyperbolic Structures on 3-Manifolds,II: Surface Groups and 3-Manifolds which Fiber over the Circle.* preprint, arXiv:math.GT/9801045, 1986.

Section de Mathématiques, Université de Genève, 2-4 rue du Lièvre, Case postale 64, 1211 Genève 4, Suisse, *E-mail address:* caterina.campagnolo@unige.ch